	Case 2:05-cv-00946-JCC Document 2	40 Filed 01/25/07	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT SEATTLE		
10	FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and FMC FOODTECH, INC., successors-in-interest to		
11	DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC. and STEIN, INC., d/b/a STEIN-DSI,	CASE NO. C	C05-946C
12	Plaintiffs,	MINUTE OF	
13	v.		
14	JAMES EDWARDS and PROCESSING		
15	EQUIPMENT SOLUTIONS, INC.,		
16	Defendants.		
17	The following Minute Order is made by direct	ion of the Court the l	Honorable John C
18	Coughenour, United States District Judge:		
19	This matter comes before the Court on the parties' filing of various documents regarding their		
20	dispositive motions as SEALED (Dkt. Nos. 226, 233, 234, 235, 236). "There is a strong presumption of		
21	public access to the court's files and records which may be overcome only on a compelling showing that		
22	the public's right of access is outweighed by the interests of the public and the parties in protecting files,		
23	records, or documents from public review." Local Rule CR 5(g)(1). The Court notes that the documents		
24	and attachment filed as sealed are lengthy and their designation in their entireties as "sealed" likely is		
25	and accommone mod as search are lengthly and their the	orgination in their cliff	recies as seared likely is
26	MINUTE ORDER – 1		

1 overbroad. Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to reevaluate the propriety of sealing these 2 documents in their entireties. Upon such reevaluation, Defendants are hereby DIRECTED to replace 3 Dkt. No. 226 with an unsealed version and Plaintiffs are hereby DIRECTED to replace Dkt. Nos. 233, 234, 235, and 236 with unsealed versions. The parties may attach sealed documents as exhibits to the 4 5 extent necessary and may redact material in accordance with this Court's Local Rules and the Protective 6 Order in this case. To the extent that a party remains convinced that sealing is proper as to all or part of 7 each of these documents and their attachments, that party is DIRECTED to file a short synopsis with the Court, listing each document or attachment sealed and each category of redaction made, along with 8 9 justifications therefor. The currently sealed papers shall remain sealed, and the unsealed replacements 10 will be the operative motion papers upon which this Court will rule. The substitutions and synopses are 11 due within ten (10) days of the date of this Minute Order. 12 The Court will evaluate the propriety of sealing the documents listed *supra*, as well as future 13 filings, on an ongoing basis. The Court further DIRECTS the parties in the future to file sealed 14 documents in accordance with the foregoing. 15 DATED this 25th day of January, 2007. 16 BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk of Court 17 By <u>/s/ C. Ledesma</u> 18 Deputy Clerk 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

MINUTE ORDER – 2

26